

.

Microcosmic Study on Heterotrophic CO₂ Emission from Tropical Peat as Related to Water Table Modification

Dewi Lastuti¹, Sabaruddin Kadir^{1,*}, Dedik Budianta¹

¹Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Sriwijaya University, Inderalaya 30662, South Sumatra, Indonesia *Corresponding author: Email: sabar@pps.unsri.ac.id

Article history			
Received	Received in revised form	Accepted	Available online
3 March 2016	30 March 2016	4 April 2016	15 April 2016

Abstract: A microcosmic experiment was conducted to estimate CO₂ emission from peat soils. Two treatments, peat humification levels (F = Fibric, H = Hemic, S = Sapric) and water levels (G₀ = 10 cm; G₁= 0 cm; G₂ = -10 cm; G₃ = -20 cm), were tested and arranged according to factorial randomized complete block design (RCBD) with 3 replicates. Current study revealed that CO₂ emission was significantly affected (p<0.01) by peat humification levels and water levels. The sapric peat emitted significantly higher CO₂ (696.69 b ± 43.95 mg CO₂ g⁻¹ peat d⁻¹) than hemic (504.62 a ± 105.72 mg CO₂ g⁻¹ peat d⁻¹) and fibric (492.56 a ± 90.69 mg CO₂ g⁻¹ peat d⁻¹) peats. Decreases in water level shifted anaerobic condition into aerobic condition, causing significant increases in CO₂ emission. Regardless of peat humification levels, CO₂ emission and water table depth in current study showed a nonlinear relationship. It seems that a threshold water tables for enhanced CO₂ emissions was within the range of -10 to -20 cm below peat surface. *Keyword: microcosmic, peat, humification, CO₂ emission*

Abstrak (Indonesian): Tujuan percobaan skala mikrokosm ini adalah untuk estimasi emisi CO₂ dari tanah gambut. Pengaruh 2 (dua) perlakuan, yaitu tingkat humifikasi gambut (F = Fibrik, H = Hemik, S = Saprik) dan tinggi muka air (G₀ = 10 cm; G₁= 0 cm; G₂ = -10 cm; G₃ = -20 cm), disusun menurut Rancangan Acak Lengkap Faktorial (RALF) dengan 3 (tiga) ulangan. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa perlakuan tingkat humifikasi gambut dan tinggi muka air mempengaruhi emisi CO₂ secara nyata (p<0.01). Emisi CO₂ dari gambut dengan tingkat humifikasi saprik menghasilkan emisi CO₂ secara nyata lebih tinggi (696.69 b ± 43.95 mg CO₂ g⁻¹ gambut hr⁻¹) dibandingkan dengan emisi CO₂ dari gambut hemik (504.62 a ± 105.72 mg CO₂ g⁻¹ gambut hr⁻¹) dan fibrik (492.56 a ± 90.69 mg CO₂ g⁻¹ gambut hr⁻¹). Penelitian ini juga menunjukkan bahwa perubahan suasana reduktif menjadi oksifatif akibat penurunan muka air juga diikuti oleh peningkatan emisi CO₂ secara nyata pada semua tingkat humifikasi gambut. Besaran emisi CO₂ dan muka air tanah menunjukkan pola hubungan non linier pada ketiga tingkat kematangan gambut. Hasil penelitian ini juga menunjukkan bahwa tinggi muka air pada ketiga tingkat kematangan gambut. Hasil percepatan emisi CO₂ adalah berada pada kisaran -10 to -20 cm di bawah permukaan gambut. *Kata kunci: mikrokosm, gambut, humifikasi, emisi CO₂*

1. Introduction

Indonesia has around 20.96 million hectares of peatland, mostly in Sumatra (4.74 million ha), Kalimantan (2.89 million ha) and Papua (85,394 ha). Despite its' function as a C sink [1, 2], scarcity of arable upland has increased the use of peatlands in Indonesia for oil palm plantation. Rapid expanding oil palm industry in Indonesia has been claimed to cause peat oxidation, which is causing peat oxidation and releases of carbon dioxide (CO₂) into atmosphere. The development of oil palm plantation in nutrient poor condition peatland requires considerable of anthropogenic modification of peatland ecosystem, including drainage to remove excessive water from root zone [3]. Because hydrology is the most important factor that controls the C balance of peatland, drainage of peatland for oil palm plantation exposes the peat to an aerobic condition which leads to peat oxidation and turns the peat into net C emitter and C loss [4, 5, 6]. Although the significant roles of groundwater depth in governing CO_2 emission have also been shown earlier, the emission of CO_2 continues for as long as the oil palm is growing [4, 7, 8]. Therefore, the drained peatlands for oil palm plantation in Indonesia are increasingly recognized as an important source of C emission [9].

While the estimate of CO_2 emission from peatlands have been reported earlier, potential problems remain exist. Amongst important constraints include (i) the failure to distinguish between CO_2 emission due to autotrophic and heterotrophic respirations (10, 11, 12), (ii) the failure to detect CO_2 emission due to changes in climate and peatland management practices [13, 14, 15], and (iii) some estimates were made based on the peat subsidence rates [8, 16, 17]. In addition, spatial variation



in CO_2 and CH_4 emission due to specific factors among peatland sites has also been reported, such as water level, temperature, peat quality. The undecomposed organic matter is a prerequisite for CO_2 and CH_4 emission in acidic fens [18].

Despite the perception that peats are nutrient-poor, peats are constantly undergoing dynamics decomposition. Such dynamics creates spatial variability in peat humification levels both horizontally and vertically. Because humification levels affect C content in peat, which is important for heterotrophic decomposition, current study aimed to test a hypothesis whether levels of peat humification levels would affect CO_2 emission as related to artificial lowering of peatland water levels.

2. Experimental Sections

2.1. Sampling site description

Peat samples were collected from peatland in Sepucuk Village of Ogan Komering Ilir District, South Sumatra Province, about 71 km South of Palembang, Indonesia. The climate of Sepucuk belongs to Type A with ratio of dry month to wet month of <1.5 [19]. Although there has been a seasonal shift, rainy season usually occurs from November to April with average annual precipitation of > 2,500 mm; dry season is from May to October. Air temperature is relatively similar all year long with mean annual temperature of 25°C. The sampling site covered a plot of 2 ha, which was a part of 9,710 ha of oil palm plantation area. The sampling site was deforested and abondaned peatlands, which were A detailed description of the occupied by ferns. sampling site was already given earlier [20].

2.2. Peat sampling and characteristics

Sampling points were determined based on distinct degree of peat decomposition using field rapid assessment by von Post [21]). This was important because in fact spatial variability of peat humification was found in the study area. Peat blocks of 20-cm x 20-cm x 25-cm (W x W x H) with three distinct degrees of humification, Fibric (F), Hemic (H), and Sapric (S), were taken from three sub-plots of 1m x 1m. All peat blocks were put into air-tight plastic boxes and soaked in water for transportation to the laboratory. A small portion of peat samples were also collected for physical and chemical characterization. Water from the sampling site was also collected for watering the peat blocks during incubation in the laboratory.

Upon returning to the laboratory, the peat blocks were immediately transferred to peat microcosms, while the small portions of peat samples were air-dried at room temperature (25° C), ground and sieved through 2 mm for physical and chemical characterization. The characteristics of the peat varied according to the humification levels (Table 1). Peat pH (from 3.1 to 3.8), ash content (from 11.2 to 19.0 g kg⁻¹), and bulk density (from 0.11 to 0.19 g cm⁻³) increased with increasing humification level from fibric to sapric. On the other hand, the organic C (from 557.3 to 550.2 g kg⁻¹) and the organic matter (from 960.7 to 948.5 g kg⁻¹) content decreased with increasing humification level from fibric to sapric.

2.3. Peat microcosm

Peat microcosms were air-tight plastic buckets that were 50cm high with a 15cm diameter. The peat blocks were packed separately to a height of 20 cm from the bottom of the microcosms. Using water from the field, water height in the microcosms were adjusted to three different water tables noted as $G_0 = +10$ cm, $G_1 = 0$ cm, $G_2 = -10$ cm, and $G_4 = -20$ cm from peat surface in the microcosms. The experiment was arranged in factorial completely randomized block design in 3 replicates.

2.4. CO₂ emission measurement

The peat microcosms were incubated for 15 days. The CO₂ emissions were measured every 3 days using a closed-chamber method of Isermeyer [22]. The CO₂ emission was trapped in 25 mL of NaOH (0.5M) contained in an open glass jar hung at 15 cm above the peat surface in the peat microcosms. Three microcosms with NaOH (0.5M) but without peat were used as controls. After a 3-day incubation at room temperature (25°C), the glass jar was removed and capped tightly for titration. Prior to the titration, the 25 mL of 0.05M NaOH solution was transferred to a 100-mL erlenmeyer to which 5 mL of barium chloride solution (0.5M) and three drops of indicator solution (Phenolphthalein) were added. The solution was then titrated with HCl (0.05M, dropwise) under continuous stirring until the colour changes from red to colourless.

CO₂ emission was calculated following Eq. 1 [22]:

$$CO2 = \frac{V0 - V}{dwt} x \ 1.1$$
 (1)

Where V_0 is HCl volume used for titration of the control (mL), V_1 is HCl volume used for titration of the peat sample (mL), dwt is dry weight of peat (g), t is incubation time (day), and 1.1 is conversion factor for CO₂.

2.5. Data analysis

Data on CO_2 emissions were averaged over 5 measurements. ANOVA was performed to test the effects of treatments on CO_2 emissions. Differences among treatment means were compared by least significant difference (LSD) at P<0.05.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. CO₂ emissions at different levels of peat humification

Peat humification levels significantly (p<0.01) affected the amount of emitted CO_2 from peat microcosms. The highest amount of CO_2 (696.69 b ±

Tabel 1. Physio-chemical charateristics of peat for the experiment

Characteristic	Humification Level		
Characteristic	Fibric	Hemic	Sapric
pH	3.1 ± 0.12	3.4 ± 0.15	3.8 ± 0.12
Organic C (g kg ⁻¹)	557.3 ± 1.2	552.3 ± 5.3	550.2 ± 6.2
Organic Matter (g kg ⁻¹)	960.7 ± 2.1	952.2 ± 9.2	948.5 ± 10.7
Ash Content (g kg ⁻¹)	11.2 ± 2.8	14.3 ± 4.7	19.0 ± 8.4
Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	0.11 ± 0.02	$0.1/\pm 0.02$	0.19 ± 0.01

Table 2. Effect of peat humification levels on CO₂ emissions

Peat Humification Level	CO ₂ emissions	
	mg CO ₂ g ⁻¹ peat d ⁻¹	
Fibric	$492.56 a \pm 90.69$	
Hemic	$504.62 \text{ a} \pm 105.72$	
Sapric	$696.69\ b \pm 43.95$	

Values in the same column followed by the same letters are not statistically different

(LSD at p=0.05). Means are based on n=60.

64.03 mg $CO_2 g^{-1}$ peat d⁻¹) was emitted from the sapric peat, which was significantly higher than CO₂ emissions from fibric and hemic peats (Table 2). The highest CO₂ emissions from the most humified peat (sapric) in current study were not in agreement with those reported earlier [23] that the highest CO_2 emissions were observed in the least humified peat (litter layer), irrespective of the moisture content. In earlier study [23] used peat column collected from 3 different depths (0-10 cm, 10-20 cm, and 20-30 cm) from which a 5-cm thick peat layer was packed. Each depth of peats had different level of humification. The uppermost layer (0-10 cm) was the least humified layer and the humification levels increased with increasing depth. While in current study, each peat microcosm had different levels of humification.

The fact that well-humified peat (sapric peat) in current study emitting significantly higher amount of CO_2 showed that heterotrophic microbes in the sapric peat were more active as compared to those in the fibric and sapric peats because C source in the sapric peat was more readily available and accessible. A study by [24] pointed out that adding simple sugar (glucose and sucrose) to the peat as C sources significantly increased the availability of readily-accessible C source, which was then stimulating heterotrophic microbe activities and increasing CO_2 emissions from peat. On the other hand, lower CO_2 emissions from less-humified peat (fibric and hemic) in current study was due the less availability of readily-accessible C source rather than being caused by high availability of recalcitrant C source. Ecologically, such condition was to some extent beneficial because it could extend the life span for the role of peats as major C stores.

3.2. CO₂ emissions at different water level

Water tables significantly (p<0.01) affected CO₂ emissions from peat microcosms. Decreases in water tables were followed by significant increases in CO₂ emissions at all levels of peat humification (Fig. 1). Because CO₂ emissions was significantly different among three levels of peat humification (Table 2), correlations between CO₂ emissions and water depth for each level of peat humification is reported. The mean CO₂ emissions and mean water depth relationship was highly significant, with R² values of 0.92, 0.89, and 0.87 for the fibric, hemic, and sapric peats, consecutively (Fig. 1). Because water depth is an important indicator of reductive-oxidative states of peat, the significant correlation between CO₂ emissions and water depths observed in current study was closely related to the shifting condition from anaerobic to aerobic conditions. Significant correlation between water depth and CO₂ emissions has also been demonstrated for tropical peat [25] and by for sub-tropical peat [26]. However, both studies earlier [25, 26] did not differentiate CO₂ emissions from different levels of peat humification, while in current study significant differences in CO₂ emissions were detected among fibric, hemic, and sapric peat at all levels of water depth.



Figure 1. Effect of water levels on CO_2 emissions from peat soil with different levels of humification (Values are based on n=15).

The decreases in water depth from 10 cm to -20 cm in current study gradually created oxidative conditions due to the increasing oxygen availability in the pore spaces and eventually triggered heterotrophic peat decomposition. The fact that the decreases in water table as much as 10 cm in current study still enabled capillary movement of water from lower part to upper part of the

7

microcosms. Once the differences of water depth were 20 cm, for example from 10 cm to -10 cm and from 0 cm to -20 cm, the amount of CO_2 emission increased significantly at all peat humification levels (Fig. 1) because it further increased the availability of oxygen for peat decomposition by heterotrophic microorganisms while still sufficiently maintaining moisture content. In addition, due to a possibility of phenol toxicity under anaerobic condition to occur was also high [27], the shifting from anaerobic to aerobic conditions due to the decreases in water table in the peat microcosms might also have significantly removed phenol toxicity, resulting in significant increases CO_2 emissions.

A nonlinear relationship between CO₂ emission and water table depth found in current study contrast findings earlier [8, 28, 29], in which a linier relationship was found in their field study. The linier relationship between CO₂ emission and drained peat soils under Acacia plantation [8, 28, 29] were calculated using metadata assessment, while current results were calculated using closed-chamber measurement. In addition, water table is fluctuating under field conditions [29], while water table in the peat microcosms in current study was maintained at the same levels for each treatment during 15 days of incubation. The relatively maintained water table in current study enabled gradual increases in heterotrophic CO₂ emission, resulting a nonlinear relationship between CO2 emission and water table depth.

Current findings again confirmed that regardless of peat humification levels, hydrologic shifts from anaerobic to aerobic conditions threaten carbon stores, changing peatlands from a carbon sink to a carbon source by increasing decomposition [30]. Current results indicated that a threshold water tables for enhanced CO_2 emissions was within the range of -10 to -20 cm and we suggest that the non-linier relationship would be appropriate to estimate CO_2 emission relative to water table shift in peat soils up to -20 cm below peat surface (owing high R^2 values as shown in Fig. 1), while acknowledging the relationship might be different for deeper water table and under field conditions.

4. Conclusion

Based on the results of current experiment, it can be concluded that it is important to consider spatial variability of peat humification levels in measuring CO_2 emission. In addition, lowering water table enhanced CO_2 emission at all peat humification levels. It seems that the threshold water tables for enhanced CO_2 emissions was within the range of -10 to -20 cm below peat surface.

Acknowledgement

We want to thank a number of people and organizations for their support and contribution to make

current work possible. Technical help by a number of villagers in *Sepucuk* Village of Ogan Komering Ilir District, South Sumatra Province during field work is also highly acknowledged. Current work has also been possible because technical support from the Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Sriwijaya University and Post Graduate Study, Sriwijaya University. Current work was also supported by Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) Indonesia in Palembang within Study on Mainstreaming of Climate Change into Development Planning in South Sumatra Province 2014-2015.

References

[1] Jaenicke, J., Rieley, J.O., and Mott, C. 2008. Determination of the amount of carbon stored in Indonesian peatlands. Geoderma 147:151–158.

[2] Page, S.E., Rieley, J.O., and Banks, C.J. 2011. Global and regional importance of the tropical peatland carbon pool. Glob. Change Biol. 17:798–818.

[3] Hooijer, A., Page, S., Canadell, J.G., Silvius, M., Kwadijk J., Wösten, H., and Jauhiainen, J. 2010. Current and future CO_2 emissions from drained peatlands in Southeast Asia. Biogeosciences 7:1505–1514.

[4] Gomeiro, T., Paoletti, M.G., and Pimente, D. 2010. Biofuels: efficiency, ethics and limits to human appropriation of ecosystem services. J. Agric Environ. Ethic 23:403–434.

[5] Maljanen, M., Komulainen, V.M., Hytönen, J., Martikainen, P.J., and Laine, J. 2004. Carbon dioxde, nitous oxide and methane dynamics in boreal organic agricultural soils with different soil management. Soil Biol. Biochem. 36:1808–1808.

[6] Pitkänen, A., Turunen, J., Tahvanainen, T., and Simola, H. 2013. Carbon storage in a partially forestrydrained boreal mire determined through peat column inventories. Boreal Enviro. Res. 18:223–234.

[7] Jauhiainen, J., A. Hooijer, and S. E. Page. 2012. Carbon dioxide emissions from an *Acacia* plantation on peatland in Sumatra, Indonesia. Biogeosciences 9:617– 630.

[8] Couwenberg, J., Dommain, R., and Joosten, H. 2010. Greenhouse gas fluxes from tropical peatlands in Southeast Asia. Glob. Change Biol. 16:1715–1732.

[9] Fargione, J., Hill, J., Tilman, D., Polasky, S., and Hawthorne, P. 2008. Land clearing and the biofuel carbon debt. Science 319(29):1235–1238.

[10] Melling, L., Hatano, R., and Goh, K.J. 2005. Methane flux from three ecosystems in tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia. Soil Biol. Chem. 37:1445–1453.

[11] Melling, L., Hatano, R., and Goh, K.J. 2007. Nitrous oxide emissions from three ecosystems in



8

ojs.pps.unsri.ac.id

tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 53:729-805.

[12] Reijnders, L., and Huijbregts, M.A.J. 2008. Palm oil and the emission of carbon-based greenhouse gases, J. Clean. Prod. 16:477–482.

[13] Kroon, P.S., Schrier-Uijl, A.P., Hensen, A., Veenendaal, E.M., and Jonker, H.J.J. 2010. Annual balances of CH_4 and N_2O from a managed fen meadow using eddy covariance flux measurements. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 6(5):773–784.

[14] Veenendaal, E.M., Kolle, O., Leffelaar, P.S., Schrier-Uijl, A.P., Huissteden, J. van, Walsem, J. van, Möller, F., and Berendse, F. 2007. CO₂ exchange and carbon balance in two grassland sites on eutrophic drained peat soils, Biogeosciences 4:1027–1040.

[15] Hirano, T., Segah, H., Harada, T., Limin, S., June, T., Hirata, R, and Osaki, M. 2007. Carbon dioxide balance of a tropical peat swamp forest in Kalimantan, Indonesia. Glob. Change Biol. 13:412–425.

[16] Dradjad, M., Soekodarmodjo, S., Shodiq, Hidayat, M., and Nitisapto, M: Subsidence of peat soils in the tidal swamp lands of Barambai, South Kalimantan. J. Ilmu Tanah dan Lingk. 4:32–40.

[17] Hooijer, A. Page, S., Jauhiainen, J., Lee, W.A., Lu, X.X., Idris, A., and Anshari, G. 2012. Subsidence and carbon loss in drained tropical peatlands. Biogeosciences, 9:1053–1071.

[18] Reichel, M., G. Gleixner, and K. Küsell. 2010. Effect of peat quality on microbial greenhouse gas formation in an acidic fen. Biogeosciences 7:187–198.

[19] Schmidt, F.H., and Ferguson, J.H.A. 1951. Rainfall type based on wet and dry period ratios for Indonesia with western New Guinea, Verhandelingen Djawatan Meterologi de Geofisik, Djakarta.

[20] Winda, P., and Sabaruddin. 2014. Estimating direct emission of nitrous oxide (N_2O) from chemical N fertilizer application to peatland under oil palm plantation. Proc. of Nat. Sem. on Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation Toward Sustainable Forest and Land Management, Jakarta, Indonesia, pp. 231–236. [21] von Post, L., and Granlund, E. 1924. Södra Sveriges Torvtillgångar I (Peat resources in southern Sweden I). Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning C 335(19):1–128, 1924.

[22] Isermeyer, H. 1952. Estimation of soil respiration in closed jars. *In* Alef, K., Nannipieri, P. (Eds). Method in Applied Soil Microiology and Biochemistry. London, p. 214–216.

[23] Vien, D.M., Phuong, N.M., Jauhiainen, J., and Guing, V. T. 2010. Carbon dioxide emission from peatland in relation to hydrology, peat moisture, humification at the Vo Doi national partk, Vietnam. 19th World Congress of Soil Sci., Soil Solutions for a Changing World. 1-6 August 2010, Brisbane, Australia.

[24] Gogo, S., and Pearce, D.M.E. 2009. Saturation of raised bog peat exchange sites by Pb^{2_+} and Al^{3_+} stimulates CH₄ production. Soil Biol. Biochem. 41(9):2025–2028.

[25] Dariah, A., Fahmuddin, A., Susanti, E., and Jubaedah. 2013. Relationship between sampling distance and carbon dioxide emission under oil palm plantation, J. Trop. Soils 18(2):125–130.

[26] Silvola J, Alm, J., Aklholm, U., Nykanen, H., and Martikainen, P.J. 1996. Carbon dioxide fluxes from peat in boreal mires under varying temperature and moisture condition. J. Ecol., 84:219–228.

[27] Freeman, C., Ostle, N., and Kang, H. 2001. An Enzymic 'latch' on global carbon store: a shortage of oxygen locks up carbon in peatlands by restraining a single enzyme. Nature 409:149–149.

[28] Levy, P. E., Gray, A., Leeson, S.R., Gaiawyn, J., Kelly, M.P.C., Cooper, M.D.A., Dinsmore, K.J., Jones, S.K., and Sheppard, L.J. 2011. Quantification of uncertainty in trace gas fluxes measured by the static chamber method. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 62:811–821.

[29] Hooijer, A. Page, S., Jauhiainen, J., Lee, W.A., Lu, X.X., Idris, A., and Anshari, G. 2012. Subsidence and carbon loss in drained tropical peatlands. Biogeosciences 9:1053–1071.

[30] Wang, W., Ohseb. K, and Liuc, J. 2005. Contribution of root respiration to soil respiration in a C3/C4 mixed grassland. J. Bioscience 30:507–514.

